Jacobs

Challenging today. Reinventing tomorrow.

Shoreline Remediation of Petroleum Hydrocarbons Using an Oleophilic Bio-barrier for Sheen Control

ESAA Webinar Presentation

Jeff Gentry, P.E. Jacobs Tom Simpkin, Jacobs Laura Tochko, Jacobs May 2020

- 1. The Problem: Seeps and Sheens
- 2. NAPL CSMs
- 3. Oleophilic Bio-barriers (OBBs) to Manage Sheens
- 4. OBB Case Studies
- 5. Ongoing Research

Who Am I?

Professionally

- Chemical Engineer with environmental masters
- Been with Jacobs and predecessor CH2M HILL for 30+ years
- Specialize in NAPL Sites with first NAPL cap installed in 1995
- Session chair at Battelle Sediment conference on NAPL sites in 2017 and 2019

Previous Alberta Experience

- Two bike trips in Alberta in 2007 and 2014
- First on Tandems with my two young boys
 Whitefish, USA to Waterton and back
- Second Lake Louise to Whitefish USA through Waterton



The Problem: Seeps and Sheens

© Jacobs 2020

The Problem: Seeps and Sheens

- Sheens of hydrocarbons form at Groundwater/Surface water Interfaces (GSIs) due to releases of petroleum liquids. Form thin films on water surfaces that spread
- Result from:
 - Seeps ("blebs") of hydrocarbons migrating as mobile LNAPL to the GSI,
 - Sheens of hydrocarbons migrating from residual LNAPL across the top of the air-water interface in porous media to the GSI
 - Ebullition from sediments
 - Erosion/scour of banks.
- Challenges include
 - Quantifying (and differentiating from natural)
 - Understanding the location and source
 - Regulatory and public perception
 - Access





NAPL Migration Mechanism is Important Part of CSM





Photo from

Sale, T., and M. Lyverse. 2014. Sheens Associated with Subsurface Petroleum Releases – Current Knowledge and Best Practices. Chevron USA, Inc.

Sheen Migration



Figure S-6. Sheen advancing across the air-water interface at the top of the capillary fringe. (Provided by Dr. Julio Zimbron, authorization to use by Author/Colorado State University.)

Reference LNAPL-3: LNAPL Site Management: LCSM Evolution, Decision Process, and Remedial Technologies, Appendix E

- NAPLs can move across the air-water interface
- Similar to the spreading of sheens on surface water
- Caused by surface tension differences
- Does not need a NAPL head to migrate
- Can occur with residual NAPL

NAPL Migration CSMs

NAPL Migration along Tidal Drainage



1986 EPA Video of Wyckoff Intertidal Area

Surface NAPL Flow Informed Design Stage CSM



- NAPL surface discharge and transport explains the lateral NAPL distribution the site
- Tidal drainages are also accumulation areas for NAPL resulting in deeper NAPL impacts

And Even Thick NAPL can Migrate and Create Seeps and Sheens





- Measured viscosity of >100,000 centipoise
 - -Sour Cream!
- NAPL migrates at this high of viscosity



Oleophilic Bio-barriers (OBBs) To Manage Sheens

OBB Utilizes Multiple Layers





- OBB Mechanisms
 - Oleophilic geocomposite sorbs NAPL and Seeps
 - Aerobic biodegradation enhanced by cycling of surface water, resulting in rejuvenation of geocomposite

OBB United States Patent

	1- <u>1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-</u>		
		US010112854B2	
(12)	United States Patent Zimbron et al.	(10) Patent No.: US 10,112,854 B2 (45) Date of Patent: Oct. 30, 2018	
(54)	OLEOPHILIC BIO-BARRIER FOR CONTROLLING NAPL MIGRATION	(52) U.S. CL. CPC C02F 3/02 (2013.01); C02F 2101/32 (2012.01); C02F 2101/32	
(71)	Applicants: COLORADO STATE UNIVERSITY RESEARCH FOUNDATION, Fort Collins, CO (US); Chevron U.S.A. Inc., San Ramon, CA (US); Areadis Corporate Services, Inc., Highlands Ranch, CO (US)	(2015.01), C02P 2105/00 (2015.01), 102w 10/15 (2015.05) (58) Field of Classification Search CPC	
(72)	Inventors: Julio A. Zimbron, Fort Cellins, CO (US); Thomas C. Sale, Bellvue, CO (US); Matthew J. Biondolillo, Pennellville, NY (US); Philip II. Batten, Manlius, NY (US); Mare Chalfant, Fort Collins, CO (US); Mark	2307/726; C09K 3/32; E02B 15/06 USPC 210/924, 242.2, 693, 671, 17.01; 405/128.15, 129.45 See application file for complete search history. (56) References Cited	
	Lyverse, Lafayette, CA (US)	U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS	
(73)	Assignces: Colorado State University Research Foundation, Fort Collins, CO (US): Chevron U.S.A. Inc., San Ramon, CA (US): Areadis Corporate Services, Inc., Highlands Ranch, CO (US)	7,128,498 B2 * 10/2006 Sheahan	

Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this (*) Notice: Primory Examiner - Claire A Norris

- Colorado State University Research Foundation and others have a US patent on the OBB technology
- Jacobs has a agreement with CSU to implement the OBB technology

Geocomposite NAPL Capacity Comparison



Capacity tests performed on site LNAPL

Results

- For diesel, 3 L NAPL/m² OBB (Chalfant, 2015).
- Thick NAPL OBB capacity is more than double - 7.5 L NAPL/m² OBB.

OBB Applications

On Shorelines



Relatively flat application



On Retaining Walls (vertical application)





Slope application

Case Studies

Portland Harbor Superfund Site



Google earth

Data SID, NDAA, U.S. Navy, NGA, GEBCO JS Dept of State Geographer 9 2018 Google mage Landsat / Copernicus



Image from EPA ROD, Figure 1, January 2018

- Located in Portland, Oregon, USA
- Approximately 9 miles of the Willamette River (RM 2 to 11)
- Kinder Morgan Linnton Bulk Terminal Facility at ~RM 4
- Record of Decision issued in January 2018
- Requires the remediation of over 10,000 feet of river bank

Linnton Terminal Bank



- 1,052 feet of river bank
- 100 feet of river bank has intermittent sheen discharge

Linnton Terminal Bank



- Barrier wall installed to block NAPL flow path to the river
- Fiberglass sheet piles with Viton seals
- Bottom penetrated silt underlying silt layer
- No heavy sheens after installation but infrequent light sheens persist



Oleophilic Bio Barrier Design



- Sheen area and intensity was measured
- Sheen volume 1 to 15 milliliters
- OBB capacity 3 liters/square meter
 - Capacity >5,000 sheen events without degradation
 - Years of absorption capacity to allow biodegradation
- Added activated carbon layer to address dissolved phase
 - Conservative assumptions to design the active cap layer using CapSim
 - A six-inch layer of a mixture of 20% activated carbon can treat discharge for 100 years

Cap Constructed in Two Phases



- Phase 1 constructed in Fall 2017
 - All work above Fall river stage
- Phase 2 constructed in Fall 2018
 - In-water work allowed by permit



Cap Type 3 to Maintain Beach Habitat



 Marine mattresses used to reduce cut needed to support beach mix



Habitat Before and After





- Unsuitable Beach Habitat
- 25 Suitable Beach Habitat





2,100 square feet of new beach habitat was created

- Unsuitable Beach Habitat
- Beach Habitat

Sheen Before and After



No sheens have been observed since Phase 1 completion in November 2017

Results Published in Remediation Journal

DOI: 10.1002/rem.21642

RESEARCH ARTICLE

WILEY

Novel shoreline cap for controlling sheen and dissolved-phase constituent discharge

Laura Tochko¹ | Scott Martin³

Jeff L. Gentry¹ | Alexandra Salter-Blanc¹ | Keith Sheets¹ | Bhawana Sharma²

¹Jacobs, Portland, Oregon

²Jacobs, Gainesville, Florida ³Kinder Morgan, Inc., Houston, Texas

Correspondence

Jeff L Gentry, Jacobs, 2020 SW 4th Ave #300, Portland, OR 97201. Email: Jeff.Gentry@jacobs.com

Abstract

A novel, multilayered shoreline cap was designed and installed to mitigate the release of petroleum light nonaqueous phase liquid (LNAPL) and dissolved-phase groundwater constituents to the Willamette River in Portland, OR, Releases of LNAPL related to upland impacts caused occasional sheens on a portion of the river within the Portland Harbor Superfund Site. The frequency and volume of sheens decreased following the installation of an upland sheet pile barrier wall, but occasional sheens related to LNAPL impacts stranded downgradient of the wall continued-prompting the design of a shoreline remedy. Because the site is located within the Portland Harbor Superfund Site, the cap was designed to mitigate sheen and to meet the objectives specified in the Portland Harbor Record of Decision including limiting the discharge of certain dissolved-phase constituents of interest. The cap design was the first instance of combining an oleophilic bio-barrier to mitigate sheen and an activated carbon layer to capture dissolved-phase constituents. No sheens have been visually observed since cap installation.



Western Railyard with Historic Impacts





- Bunker C Oil and Diesel impacts
- Releases to the river over 800 feet of railyard on and under 1920's retaining wall
- Releases date back 100 years
- Under order to stop discharge in 3 years

Western Railyard with Historic Impacts – Vertical Application



Light sheening

Heavy discharge

- Variable NAPL discharge
- 2 layers of OBB installed in heavy discharge areas

Adapting the OBB to a Retaining Wall – Anchoring







 OBB was secured with batten plates or roped gravel bags

Adapting the OBB to a Retaining Wall - Installation

 Design challenges include no heavy equipment within 20 ft (6 m) of top of wall





Adapting the OBB to a Retaining Wall – Wall Irregularities



 OBB had to be cut and heat seamed to wrap around wall irregularities

Wall Before and After



Current Performance



- Reduction but not total elimination of NAPL discharge to river
- Monitoring of cover to document sheens and breakthrough
- Potential for OBB replacement in sections

Adapting the OBB to Remote Alaska





Proof of Concept Study

conducted last summer for six weeks to address sheens in tidally-influenced stream in Aleutian Islands, Alaska

OBB Design in Progress





Ongoing Research

Future Work





- Adapt OBB for wider-range of conditions including nontidal
- Evaluation of OBBs in constantly submerged setting
- Develop internet-connected monitoring systems to track parameters such as ORP, temperature, and water levels
- Explore role of iron at sites with petroleum sheens







Copyright Notice

Important

The material in this presentation has been prepared by Jacobs[®].

©2020 Jacobs Engineering Group Inc. All rights reserved. This presentation is protected by U.S. and International copyright laws. Reproduction and redistribution without written permission is prohibited. Jacobs, the Jacobs logo, and all other Jacobs trademarks are the property of Jacobs Engineering Group Inc.

Jacobs is a trademark of Jacobs Engineering Group Inc.