In Situ Soil Stabilization by Microbially Induced Calcite Precipitation

Using Biocementation to Stabilize unconsolidated soils
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In Siu Biostabilisation

Unconsolidated loose soils often have poor physical properties:

- Low natural slope angle
- Low load-bearing capacity
- Prone to subsidence / settlement
- Prone to erosion
- Prone to liquefaction
Examples

Theory:

Example of application

**Sea protection dam**
Underlying aquifer prone to liquefaction in case of earthquake

**Slope stability:**
Example calculation for project: a cohesion of \(~16\) kPa is sufficient to provide stability against (static) failure for a 4 m high, 1 in 2 slope in extremely loose sand. This is a low strength application.

**Erosion resistance:**
< 5kPa required (low strength application)

**Maximum strength achievable:**
30,000 kPa UCS (concrete: 20,000 - 70,000 kPa)

Example of application

**Road bank along drainage canal**
Road surface
Liquefaction

Cassidy, J.F and others, Canada’s Earthquakes; ‘The Good, the Bad, and the Ugly’: Geoscience Canada, Volume 37 Number 1

Liquefaction in saturated loose soils
Pore water pressure in soil influences particle contacts: friction angle
Normally: static pore water pressure conditions
During earthquake: soil compacts -> rapid increase of excess pore water pressure -> particle contacts in soil reduced -> (complete) loss of strength: liquefaction

San Fernando earthquake, 1971.

Japan: Kobe (1995) & Nigata (1964)
Port Lands Toronto

Don River Toronto

Google Earth
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New Don River mouth into Lake Ontario

New developped (raised) land

New landscaped Don River Channel
Toronto Port Lands

Issues:

• Unstable soils ("running sands")
• Stable slope 1:8
• Prone to erosion
• Massive soil handling required for landscaping

(soil & groundwater contamination in specific area’s, but that is a different story . . . )
CLASSIC SOLUTIONS

• Dewatering to improve settlement
• Pre Load for prolonged time to limit residual settlement
• Physical mixing with bonding agents
• Civil engineering solutions
  – Deep foundations
  – Sheet piling
  – Concrete piling
IN SITU BIOCEMENTATION

Increase bonding of soil particles:

- Utilizing soil natural biological characteristics;
- stimulating micro-organisms to catalyze chemical reactions;
- precipitation of calcium carbonate (CaCO$_3$) to bind soil particles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conversion type</th>
<th>Catabolic reaction per mole CaCO$_3$</th>
<th>Solubility</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Product Yield</th>
<th>By products</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Urea hydrolysis</td>
<td>1 CO(NH$_2$)$_2$ + 2 H$_2$O + 1 Ca(Cl)$_2$ → 1 CaCO$_3$ + 2 NH$_4$Cl</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerobic Acetate oxidation</td>
<td>1 Ca(C$_2$H$_3$O$_2$)$_2$ + 4O$_2$ → 1 CaCO$_3$ + 3 CO$_2$ + 3 H$_2$O</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate reduction with Calcium</td>
<td>0.385 Ca(C$_2$H$_3$O$_2$)$_2$ + 0.615 Ca(NO$_3$)$_2$ → 1 CaCO$_3$ + 0.615 N$_2$ + 0.539 CO$_2$ + 1.159 H$_2$O</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulphate reduction</td>
<td>1 Ca(C$_2$H$_3$O$_2$)$_2$ + 2 CaSO$_4$ → 3 CaCO$_3$ + 1 CO$_2$ + 1 H$_2$O + 2 H$_2$S</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
BIOCEMENTATION PROVEN RESULTS

Process is lab-proven and field-demonstrated:

• Controlled bio-consolidation from 5kPa UCS (improved slope stability, less erodible) to high strength, 30MPa UCS (concrete: 20 - 70 Mpa)) by adapting the concentration and the number of treatments applied.

• Application uses standard in situ remediation technologies
Pilot to Demonstrate Soil Stabilization

Two-step pilot commissioned by Waterfront Toronto:

1. Bench-top test to demonstrate ‘proof of principle’;
2. Demonstration of technology on site in Port Lands: full scale on limited portion of the site
Figure 3. Conversion of urea when subjected to several groundwater and soil samples from Port Lands Toronto. [note: new figure]
Bench Scale Results

The $\tau - \sigma$ diagram is shown in figure 2.

Figure 2: The $\tau - \sigma$ diagram BH2-17 and BH3-17
Bench Test Results

- Soil Shear Strength increased to 300-400 kPa
- Soil cohesion increased to 7 – 19 kPa
- Geotechnical analyses and calculations:
  - For slope stability, 1:2 gradient, cohesion of 5kPa of better is sufficient;
  - For erosion resistance in river bed under normal flow conditions: cohesion of 3kPa or better is sufficient;
Next steps

- On site pilot to demonstrate efficacy at full scale commissioned by WT
- On Site pilot will be implemented in spring 2018
- WT will evaluate spring/summer 2018.
Steps:

1. Analyze soils for suitability (pH, macro parameters, contaminants, toxicity) (optionally: do lab test)

2. Enrich local naturally occurring bacteria

3. Apply cultivated bacteria & amendments in treatment zone

4. Process takes between 1 week & 3 months
Biostabilization Applications

• reinforce embankments
• prevent liquefaction and its damage
• reduce building settlement and increase bearing capacity for foundations
• stabilize the soil prior to trenching or underground constuction (eliminate over-excavation)
• increase resistance to erosive forces of water flow (piping or surface erosion)
Biostabilization Applications

- provide additional stability needed to stabilize slopes
- reduce sand production in oil or water wells (sand control)
- create barriers that treat/clean groundwater as it flows
- immobilize materials in the soil and prevent contamination of aquifers
- create subsurface facilities for storage of liquefied natural gas or CO₂
- stabilization of gravel formation
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